BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

#### PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES, CORKS LANE, HADLEIGH ON WEDNESDAY 15 MAY 2013

PRESENT:

Mr P K Beer – Chairman

Dr M F M Bamford Mr M J Deacon Mrs K S Grandon Mr A J Hinton Mr P Jones Mrs M O Munson Mr A F D W Osborne Mr J M Owen Mr N A Ridley Mr D C Rose Mr R C Smith Mr R W Thake Mr A J Ward

Mr D H Keane and Mr N MacMaster were unable to be present.

Mr D L Wood, Ward Member for Alton was present at the meeting and spoke on <u>Item 1 of Paper N11</u> with the consent of the Chairman.

Mr R E Kemp, Ward Member for Long Melford was present at the meeting and spoke on <u>Item 2 of Paper N11</u> with the consent of the Chairman.

## 9 <u>SUBSTITUTES</u>

It was noted that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule No. 5, substitutes were in attendance as follows:-

Mr A J Hinton (substituting for Mr D H Keane) Mr J M Owen (substituting for Mr N MacMaster)

# 10 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Mr A F D W Osborne subsequently declared a non pecuniary interest in Application No. B/12/00531 (Paper N11 – Item 3) by virtue of him being known to one of the nearby residents but indicated that his interest was such that he was able to speak and vote on the item concerned.

#### 11 <u>PETITIONS</u>

None received.

# 12 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

None received.

# 13 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE

Members had before them an <u>Addendum to Paper N11</u> (circulated to Members prior to commencement of the meeting) summarising additional correspondence received since the publication of the Agenda, but before 12 noon on the working day before the meeting, together with errata.

Details of further representations received in respect of Item Nos. 1 and 2 of <u>Paper</u> <u>N11</u> were reported to the meeting and considered and taken into account before decisions were made on the items.

In accordance with the Council's procedure for public speaking on planning applications, representations were made as detailed below:-

Application No.	Representations From
B/13/00211	Mr K Watkins (Parish Council)
	Mr B Lankester (Objector)

## RESOLVED

That subject to the imposition of conditions or reasons for refusal (whether additional or otherwise) in accordance with delegated powers under Council Minute No. 48(a) (dated 19 October 2004) decisions on the items referred to in Paper N11 be made as follows:-

(a) TATTINGSTONE

Application No. B/12/01279 Paper N11 – Item 1 Full Application – Construction of a 38.43 ha solar park. Installation of transformer housings, security fencing and cameras; landscaping and associated works, land south of Valley Farm, Cox Hall Road.

Members were aware from the Addendum circulated prior to the meeting that further investigation could take place on identifying the extent of the archaeological exclusion zone and therefore the acceptability of an archaeological condition explored further.

# RESOLVED

That consideration of this application be deferred to a future meeting to enable the Corporate Manager – Development Management to assess archaeological matters.

(b) LONG MELFORD

Application No. B/13/00211 Paper N11 – Item 2 Full Application – Erection of a flue to annex. Erection of storage units in association with the pub and domestic usage; Erection of 1.8m fencing, Rose and Crown, Bridge Street.

Members raised queries regarding the suitability of a food preparation area being so far away from the kitchen and requested that the views of the Food Safety team be available prior to a decision being made.

#### RESOLVED

That consideration of this application be deferred to enable the Corporate Manager – Food and Safety to be consulted regarding the suitability of the food preparation area being so far away from the kitchen.

(c) SUDBURY

Application No. B/12/00531 Paper N11 – Item 3 Outline Application – Erection of 4 no. 3 bedroom dwellings (following demolition of a bungalow), Deepside, Queens Close.

Notwithstanding the recommendation of the Corporate Manager – Development Management to approve planning permission subject to a planning obligation being secured and approval subject to conditions. A motion to refuse planning permission was moved for reasons relating to over development of the site. On being put to the vote this motion was carried.

## RESOLVED

That planning permission be refused for the following reasons:

Over-development expressed by high density, excessive no. units adding to traffic and parking in the close with consequent impact on residential amenity and cramped built frontage to Queens Close with lack of adequate space between each dwelling contrary to Policies HS28 and CN01 of the Babergh Local Plan (Alteration No. 2) 2006.

The business of the meeting was concluded at 10.57 a.m.

Chairman

Chairman

H:\DOCS\Committee\MINS\Year2013\Planning-150513.docx