

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES, CORKS LANE, HADLEIGH ON WEDNESDAY, 8 APRIL 2015

PRESENT: Peter Beer – Chairman

Michael Bamford	Adrian Osborne
Simon Barrett	Jack Owen
John Deacon	Nick Ridley
Kathryn Grandon	David Rose
Peter Jones	Ray Smith
Mary Munson	Rex Thake

Desmond Keane and Trot Ward were unable to be present.

139 SUBSTITUTES

It was noted that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule No 5, a substitute was in attendance as follows:-

Simon Barrett (substituting for Desmond Keane).

140 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

None declared.

141 PETITIONS

The Head of Corporate Organisation reported, in accordance with Council Procedure Rules, the receipt of the following petition:-

Application No. B/14/01600/FUL - erection of 15 No. dwellings and formation of new vehicular access from Schoolfield, land rear of 49-55 Schoolfield, Glemsford

Petition signed by approximately 60 residents of Babergh objecting to the proposal. In accordance with Council Procedure Rules, the Chief Executive will report the receipt of the petition to the next Council meeting and it will be taken into account when the application is considered by the Planning Committee.

142 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

None received.

143 SITE INSPECTIONS

The Corporate Manager – Development Management requested a site inspection in respect of the following application in Glemsford:-

Application No. B/14/01600/FUL - Full application – Erection of 15 No. dwellings and formation of new vehicular access from Schoolfield. As amended by agent's email dated 13 March 2015 and revised drawing HV-662-P01B and as amplified by agent's email dated 7 April 2015 confirming that all the 15 dwellings would be affordable rent tenancies, land rear of 49-55 Schoolfield, Glemsford.

Reason for the site visit request:-

- To assess the impact on visual amenity and the relationship of the proposal to the existing development.

Prior to asking Members to vote on the request the Chairman asked the Case Officer to make a brief presentation with photographs to enable them to decide whether they considered it necessary to visit the site.

Following the presentation, it was agreed to hold a site visit.

RESOLVED

- (1) That a site inspection be held on Wednesday, 15 April 2015 in respect of the above-mentioned site, prior to consideration of the application by the Committee.
- (2) That a Panel comprising the following Members be appointed to inspect the site:-

**Michael Bamford
Peter Beer
Kathryn Grandon
Desmond Keane
Mary Munson**

**Adrian Osborne
Jack Owen
Ray Smith
Rex Thake
Trot Ward**

144 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE

Members had before them an [Addendum to Paper P122](#) (circulated to Members prior to the commencement of the meeting) summarising additional correspondence received since the publication of the Agenda, but before noon on the working day before the meeting, together with errata.

Details of further representations received in respect of Item No. 1 were reported to the meeting and considered and taken into account before a decision was made on the item.

In accordance with Council's procedure for public speaking on planning applications, representations were made as detailed below:-

Application No

Representations from

B/14/01462/FUL

Mrs J Osborne (Town Council)
Mrs Brown (Objector)

RESOLVED

That subject to the imposition of conditions or reasons for refusal (whether additional or otherwise) in accordance with delegated powers under Council Minute No. 48(a) (dated 19 October 2004) a decision on the item referred to in Paper P122 be made as follows:-

(a) SUDBURY

Application No. B/14/01462/FUL Full Application – Erection of detached one and a half storey dwelling and detached two bay cartlodge/garage, land south of Paper P122 – Item 1 Deepside, Queens Close.

The Case Officer in presenting this item drew Members' attention to an error in the location of the red line marking the application site on the plan attached to Paper P122.

Notwithstanding the recommendation of the Corporate Manager – Development Management to grant permission subject to conditions, a motion to refuse permission was moved and carried on being put to the vote for reasons relating to the harm which would be caused by:-

- infill overdevelopment of site,
- loss of amenity arising from increased use of access,
- highway safety.

RESOLVED

That planning permission be refused for reasons including:-

- **Cramped form of infill development constituting over-development of site having adverse impact on visual amenity/character of area contrary to saved Policies CN01, HS28 and CS15;**
- **Increased use of access causing loss of residential amenity to neighbours particularly in Bridge Terrace contrary to Policy CS15 and saved Policy HS28.**
- **Highway safety issues arising from increased use of sub-standard access onto East Street contrary to saved Policy HS28.**

The business of the meeting was concluded at 10.55 a.m.

.....
Chairman